Best Management Practices at the Ranch to Minimize Pathogen Loading

Ken Tate, Rob Atwill, and a bunch of characters – UC Davis





Today

The growing body of research on microbial WQ on California grazed watersheds.

- Who are these microbes?
- Where do they come from?
- Where do they end up?
- How to manage risk?





Microbial Pollutants



Fecal coliforms Indicator *E. coli*



Bacteria that when present in water <u>indicate</u> the presence of fecal material and pathogens. *We hope!*

Pathogens





E. Coli 0157:H7

Salmonella

1997 Bay Area – Cryptosporidium

Livestock

Pathogens

Drinking Water





C. parvum





Tiny parasite has water districts, cattle ranch



OHN GARRETT JACKSON, 15, herds cattle recently from one of his father's fields o another on the family's leased ranch property off Vasco Road:

Scientists seek watery solution to halt 'mystery spore' outbreak

cord. "I think we are just beginning to understand that cryptosporidium is going to be a major water concern for the quality of drinking water in California." By DENIS CUFF The Contra Costa Water District

Parasite

FROM PAGE 1A

this month recommended phasing out grazing in the buffer lands around its Los Vaqueros Reservoir under construction south of Brentwood. The reservoir will store drinking water for 400.000 people

The neighboring East Bay Mu-nicipal Utility District is looking into tighter grazing restrictions on its land to protect ite 1.2 million customers



Cryptosporidium has captured the attention of the water industry in the past three years because of some unusual features:

Parasite risks i Cryptosporidium (crip toe spor mammais, especially calves, (banning cattle — or maybe jus the Los Vaqueros watershed. tection Agency and the Centers of contracting cryptosporidos or Disease Control. but the district doesn't want to

Under a new information col-You might call it the mystery oug. Scientists did, giving this par-asite the name cryptosporidium as the name cryptosporidium And translates as "mystery sport. The parasite repeated sumped scientists, who didn't dis-cover until 1976 that the micros asite. Water managers, however, however, and the micros

copic organism could harm peohave reported outbreaks in othe states where the suppliers met fed-It took until the early 1980s to states where the suppliers met fed-eral regulations for water treatment. document the first case of the par-asite moving through drinking water to infect a human and cause



Francisco water district targets cattle

In February, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (PUC) seemed poised to ban cattle

ness (cryptosporidiosis) lasts only a few days i most people with healthy immune systems, bu

By DENIS CUFF Backing off a proposed cattle ban to protect drinking water from a harmful parasite, a Contra Costa wa-

ter;supplier may allow some grazing on lands around the Los Vaqueros

Contra Costa Water District plan-ners say it's enough to control graz-ing by selectively barring it in some areas, fencing off the reservoir, and

areas, tending of the reservoir, and benning young calves on most of the 18,000 acres around the reservoir. Manure from young calves has the highest risk for spreading cryp-tesporidium, a bug that has made the scatter industry such



THE TIMES . MONDAY, MARCH 24, 1997

tesponoun, a bug that has made the water industry wary. Wildely found in America's wr-terways and is forces of many wild and domestic animals, crytespori-lam can resist chlorine and silp through many water filters to make healthy people sick and kill those with weak immune systems. "Calves are the major shedders of JOE PAULO, foreground, and Russell Jackson keep an eye on their cattle from horseback on Walker crypto. By eliminating them, we can control the risk," said John Steere, a district watershed planner. "This Banch, where Jackson leases land for his herd to graze

Water district backs away from

cattle grazing ban around lake

trict would install fencing to keep RECOMMENDATIONS

1997 Bay Area - CryptosporidiumLivestockPathogensDrinking WaterImage: Colspan="3">Image: Colspan="3"Image: Colspan="3">Image: Colspan="3">Image: Colspan="3"Image: Colspan="3">Image: Colspan="3">Image: Colspan="3"Image: Colspan="3">Image: Colspan="3">Image: Colspan="3"Image: Colspan

"You need the results today?

We just started the studies yesterday!"

Assistant Specialists Atwill and Tate, 1997

How do cattle distribute fecal deposits (and thus microbes) across rangeland in space and time? Can we predict it?



A Comparative Method for Estimating Cattle Fecal Deposition on Rangeland Watersheds. JRM, 2000

Spatial and Temporal Patterns of Cattle Feces Deposition on Rangeland. JRM, 2003





It's <u>a</u> dirty job!



How quickly do pathogens die in fecal pats? Does it depend on temperature?

It's <u>an even</u> dirtier job...



Seasonal Temperature Fluctuation Induces Rapid Inactivation of Cryptosporidium parvum. Environmental Science and Technology, 2005

Effect of Daily Temperature Fluctuation during the Cool Season on the Infectivity of Cryptosporidium parvum. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2005

How are pathogens mobilized from fecal pats during rainfall-runoff events? How far will they travel in runoff?

It's <u>the</u> dirty job!



Transport of Cryptosporidium parvum Oocysts through Vegetated Buffer Strips and Estimated Filtration Efficiency. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2002.

Efficacy of Vegetated Buffer Strips for Retaining Cryptosporidium parvum. J. Environmental Quality, 2004.



Efficacy of Natural Grass Buffers for Removal of Cryptosporidium parvum in Rangeland Runoff. J. Food Protection, 2006. Significant E. coli Attenuation by Vegetative Buffers on Annual Grasslands. J. Environmental Quality, 2006.

Microbial WQ responses to watershed scale grazing treatments (e.g., none v. moderate v. heavy grazing)?



Nitrate and Sediment Fluxes from a California Rangeland Watershed. J. Environmental Quality, 2006. Watershed Research Examines Rangeland Management Effects on Water Quality. California Agriculture, 2001. Hydrology in a California Oak Woodland Watershed: a 17-Year Study. J. Hydrology, 2000.

Methods development in the field and laboratory (systemsscale study designs & stats, improved detection, safer).



Improved Quantitative Estimates of Low Environmental Loading and Sporadic Periparturient Shedding of Cryptosporidium parvum in Adult Beef Cattle. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2003.

A Relational Database for the Monitoring and Analysis of Watershed Hydrologic Functions: II. Data Manipulation and Retrieval Programs. Computers and Geosciences, 2005.

The Line of Research



Crytposporidium in wildlife and livestock

Animal	% infected
Range beef cow	< 5
Range beef calf < 4 mo	10 - 20
Back country pack stock	0
Feral pig	4 - 13
Ground squirrel	7 - 15







The Key New Finding

New statewide herd survey of range cattle and calves – 14% had Cryptosporidium.

Crytposporidium	No. Observations
C. ryanae	61/81 (75%)
C. bovis	19/81 (24%)
C. andersoni	1/81 (1%)
C. parvum	0/81 (0%)

- Species and subtypes identified in cattle are minimally infectious for humans.
- Protozoal contamination by cattle may not be the public health threat once thought.
 K. Flores *et al.*

Prevalence of *E. coli* O157:H7 Wildlife and Beef Cattle CA Central Coast



<u>E. coli O157:H7</u>			
Feral pig	10/200	(5%)	
Coyote	2/95	(2%)	
Am. crow	5/93	(5%)	
Cowbird	2/60	(3%)	
Rabbit	0/108	(0%)	
Skunk	0/63	(0%)	
Tule elk	3/150	(2%)	
Deer	0/447	(0%)	
Rodents	2/1043	(0.2%)	
Beef cattle	68/2715	(2.5%)	

WESTERN CENTER for FOOD SAFETY



The Line of Research



Fate of Microbial Pollutants on Rangelands

How long do FIB and pathogens survive in fecal pats, water, soil?

How are FIB and pathogens released and transported from fecal pats?





Crypto survival in cow pats on range

Fecal Pat Temperature (F)	Days Until >90% Dead
50	72
68	29
86	5
104	<1

- Once temperature in a cow fecal pat > 104 ° F all the *C. parvum* in that pat dies.
- Fecal pats in direct sun achieve 104 ° F once air temperature reaches 78 ° F.

Crypto transport w/ rainfall-runoff



 Microbes are stuck in the pat, or attenuated in a very short distance down slope.

The Line of Research



Grazing Intensity	Indicator <i>E. coli</i>
No Grazing	310
Moderate Grazing	425
Heavy Grazing	1250

Over 60% of cattle fecal loading is near livestock attractants in summer

Ar An

Do you know where is your supplement is?

Move existing supplement and

water sites out of near-stream

locations.

 Evaluate trails leading to and from existing and proposed sites – do

they link site to surface water?



The whole range is a microbial filter...

>90% of pollutants trapped at fecal pat

70-99% trapped each additional 1 yard

J 30-70% trapped in riparian areas

fecal pat **Similar findings for:** Pharmaceuticals and Hormones

Range management that reduces water pollution risk

Moderate	Manage livestock	Manage wet
stocking	distribution	season
Set stocking rate in balance with	Distribute grazing and waste across	Distribute livestock to resilient
forage production and site	the landscape, and actively manage	soils and non-critical hydrologic
resiliency to reduce impacts to	grazing intensity in critical	zones during saturated
soil and vegetation.	hydrologic zones.	conditions.

Prescribed grazing, cross fencing, off-stream drinking water, targeted supplemental feeding, riparian pastures, herding, vegetative buffer strips

The Line of Research



Public Lands Grazing & Water Quality Cross-sectional, Longitudinal Survey

12 USFS grazing allotments, 5 National Forests

- Nutrients below levels of ecological concern, and similar to background estimates.
- Mean concentrations below US EPA *E. coli* benchmarks.
- Met US EPA *E. coli* benchmarks for >94% of samples collected and >83% of sites.



Water quality conditions associated with cattle grazing and recreation on national forest lands. PLOS ONE, 2013.

Water Quality Conditions Associated with Livestock, Recreation, and Residences on Multiple-Use Landscapes

WQ Study Summer of 2016

Objectives

- 1) quantify fecal indicator bacteria concentrations
- 2) compare to water quality standards
- 3) relationships between WQ, environmental conditions, and land use



Water Quality Conditions Associated with Livestock, Recreation, and Residences on Multiple-Use Rangeland Watersheds



Derose. In prep.

WQ Summary

- Water quality on extensively grazed rangelands and forests is often high.
- Management can certainly create risk to water quality, or it can protect water quality.
- Rangelands have great capacity to attenuate pollutants from livestock and other ranch activities – work with that potential.
- A large toolbox of tested, feasible practices exists.